

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Office action mailed on July 6, 2006 has been carefully reviewed and the above identified amendments have been provided to thoroughly address each of the rejections provided by the examiner in that Office action. In addition, the following remarks are submitted to clarify and explain the importance of the above amendments and to support a finding by the examiner that the claims, as amended, are now in a form warranting allowance of this case. Accordingly, the undersigned respectfully requests reconsideration by the examiner in this case.

Applicant has reviewed the examiner's most recent rejection of claim 37 and has elected to cancel this claim to remove any remaining impediments to allowability of this case. In addition, claims 38-43 have also been canceled. These claims had previously been withdrawn from further consideration. Applicant will consider whether or not to pursue further patent protection on such claims in a divisional application. With this amendment canceling claims 37-43, this case should now be allowable including claims 1, 2, 4-22 and 24-36.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the examiner pass this case to issue. If, upon consideration, the examiner believes further issues remain outstanding or new ones have been generated, the undersigned requests that the examiner call the undersigned to set up a personal or telephone interview with the undersigned to resolve any such remaining issues.

Respectfully Submitted:



Bradley P. Heisler  
Applicant's Attorney  
Telephone (916) 781-6634  
Registration No.: 35,892

9-6-06

Date